

# **Constructive Alignment**

# An approach to accreditation

Prue Howard, Lyn Brodie, Duncan Campbell, Noel Miller

#### AGENDA

- 1. Accreditation a case for constructive alignment
- 2. What is required from institutions in regards to mapping
- 3. Value of Mapping or what can mapping do for you?



## A CASE FOR CONSTRUCTIVE ALIGNMENT

Mark said "Constructive alignment is an outcomes based approach to designing and delivering a learning and teaching experience"

From an accreditation perspective:

- Stage 1 Competencies The learning outcomes
- Delivery of the program The learning activities
- Accreditation The assessment (basically portfolio assessment achieved by reflective practice – the Self Study, and viva voce – the visit)



# A CASE FOR CONSTRUCTIVE ALIGNMENT

Stage 1 Competencies

- Accreditation requires that institutions demonstrate that their individual program deliver the Stage 1 competencies
- Institutions 'Map' their program to the Stage 1 competencies



## WHAT IS REQUIRED FROM INSTITUTIONS

The Accreditation Management System (AMS) requires each institution to address 15 accreditation criteria, which are split into three categories:

- Academic Programs (AP)
- Operational Environment (OE)
- Quality Systems (QS)

<u>Accreditation Standard – Higher Education AMS-STD-10</u>

Accreditation Criteria User Guide- Higher Education AMS-MAN-10 5



### **ACCREDITATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM – MAPPING**

Criteria AP1: Development of the education specification for the program

Suggested evidence of attainment

- b. Explicit and comprehensive specification of graduate capabilities that demonstrate:
  - ii. Attainment of the Engineers Australia Stage 1 competency elements (PE/ET/EA), integrated with specific details of the technical knowledge and engineering application skills that are uniquely targeted for the specified engineering discipline
- c. Systematic review process inclusive of all teaching staff and the ongoing input from external constituencies, that:
  - i. Is holistic and outcomes driven
  - ii. Addresses the full range of program learning outcomes/graduate capabilities
  - iii. Is specific to each program



#### **ACCREDITATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM – MAPPING**

Criteria AP5: Program curriculum (outcomes, content, pedagogy, assessment)

Suggested evidence of attainment:

- a. Specifications of intended learning outcomes for individual units of study, aggregating to deliver graduate capabilities matching the specified learning outcomes for the program (demonstrated by systematic mapping)
- d. Specific mapping to demonstrate how intended learning outcomes and assessment tasks from individual units of study aggregate to validate delivery of graduate capabilities which will match the specified learning outcomes



### WHAT CAN MAPPING DO FOR YOU?

- Accreditation the demonstration of how the program learning outcomes meet the Stage 1 competencies
- Initial Curriculum Design (needed for accreditation)
- Quality Assurance Identification of gaps (needed for accreditation)
- Ongoing curriculum development (needed for accreditation)
- Curriculum review at topic, unit and program level (needed for accreditation)
- Involves staff at all levels in understanding why the program is the way it is
- Involves students at all levels in understanding why the program is the way it is



# **THANK YOU** Questions?

Australian Engineering Accreditation Centre – <u>aeac@engineersaustralia.org.au</u>

General Manager, Professional Standards – Bernie Foley – <u>bfoley@engineersaustralia.org.au</u>